International Journal of Education, Literacies, and Curriculum Studies
ISSN: 3116-2991
Volume 2 Issue 4- 2026
https://ijelcs.minduraresearch.com/journal/index

Exploring Instructional Supervision Practices among Master Teachers and Supervisors in SDO Santiago City

Shirlyn R. Macaspac
Senior Education Program Specialist
Schools Division Office of Santiago City
Department of Education, Philippines

ABSTRACT

Instructional supervision plays a critical role in strengthening teaching quality, supporting teachers' professional development, and ensuring the effective implementation of curriculum standards. This qualitative study explored the instructional supervision practices of master teachers and supervisors in the Schools Division Office (SDO) of Santiago City, Philippines. Specifically, it examined how instructional supervision was conducted, how supervisors and master teachers provided technical assistance and professional support, the challenges encountered in supervisory work, the strategies employed to respond to these challenges, and the practices that could contribute to a more responsive instructional supervision framework. The study employed a qualitative descriptive research design and purposive sampling of master teachers and education supervisors with direct experience in instructional supervision. Data were gathered through semi-structured interviews and were analyzed using thematic analysis through familiarization, coding, categorization, theme development, review, and interpretation. Five interconnected themes emerged from the analytic framework: (1) supervision as developmental professional support, (2) observation and feedback as mechanisms for improving instruction, (3) collaborative coaching and mentoring as pathways to teacher growth, (4) negotiating workload, resistance, and contextual constraints, and (5) building reflective and data-informed supervisory practices. The findings emphasize that effective instructional supervision extends beyond compliance and classroom observation. It requires professional trust, constructive feedback, differentiated technical assistance, collaborative problem-solving, and sustained monitoring of teachers' instructional needs. Based on the findings, the study proposes the Collaborative and Developmental Instructional Supervision Framework, which integrates diagnosis, observation, reflective dialogue, differentiated technical assistance, collaborative professional learning, and follow-through. The study concludes that instructional supervision is most effective when supervisors and master teachers function as instructional partners who promote continuous professional learning rather than merely as evaluators of teacher performance.

Keywords: instructional supervision, master teachers, education supervisors, coaching, mentoring, technical assistance, qualitative research, SDO Santiago City

Received: 09-03-2026 **Revised**: 09-30-2026 **Accepted**: 11-01-2016 **Published**: 11-15-2026

Publisher's Note: IJELCS stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.
Copyright: © 2026 by the authors. Submitted for open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

International Journal of Education, Literacies, and Curriculum Studies
ISSN: 3116-2991
Volume 2 Issue 4- 2026
https://ijelcs.minduraresearch.com/journal/index

1. Introduction

The quality of classroom instruction remains one of the most important determinants of meaningful learning experiences in basic education. While teachers occupy the central position in the delivery of instruction, the improvement of teaching practice does not depend on teachers alone. Instructional leaders, including school heads, master teachers, Public Schools District Supervisors, Education Program Supervisors, and other education leaders, perform significant roles in monitoring instructional practices, providing professional guidance, identifying areas for improvement, and supporting continuing teacher development.

In the Philippine basic education system, instructional supervision is increasingly viewed as a developmental and collaborative process rather than a mechanism focused exclusively on inspection or compliance. The Philippine Professional Standards for Supervisors recognizes the essential contribution of supervisors to improving teacher and school-head quality and, ultimately, learner achievement. The standards provide a professional framework through which supervisory practices may be aligned with curriculum implementation, instructional leadership, professional development, and educational improvement. Current Department of Education policy likewise emphasizes that Master Teachers are expected to perform instructional supervision, coaching, mentoring, and technical assistance, serve as subject-matter experts, and guide other teachers toward improved professional competencies.

Instructional supervision may involve classroom observation, pre- and post-observation conferences, examination of lesson plans and instructional materials, analysis of learner performance, coaching, mentoring, demonstration teaching, technical assistance, professional learning communities, and monitoring of curriculum implementation. When effectively implemented, these activities can create opportunities for teachers to examine their instructional practices, identify strengths and areas for improvement, experiment with alternative teaching approaches, and receive professional assistance based on actual classroom needs.

Professional learning literature similarly indicates that one-time training is insufficient for sustained instructional improvement. A systematic review of 125 studies found that continuing professional development characterized by training, coaching, collaboration, and sustained engagement was associated with stronger opportunities for improving teaching and learner outcomes. These findings strengthen the argument that instructional supervision should be conceptualized as an ongoing professional learning process rather than an isolated evaluation event.

Despite its importance, instructional supervision is not without challenges. Supervisors and master teachers frequently operate within contexts characterized by demanding workloads, administrative responsibilities, curricular changes, differences in teacher readiness, limited time, and varying attitudes toward supervision. Philippine research involving master teachers has identified difficulties related to time management, pedagogical concerns, lesson preparation, resistance to feedback, and keeping teachers informed about emerging instructional strategies. Other recent research has emphasized collaboration, instructional leadership, adaptability, and continuous professional updating as significant dimensions of effective supervision.

The changing educational environment further increases the complexity of supervisory work. Curriculum reforms, technology integration, differentiated instruction, assessment reforms, inclusive education, and increasing expectations for evidence-based practice require instructional leaders to possess both pedagogical and interpersonal competencies. Supervisors are therefore expected not only to determine whether instructional standards are being followed but also to help teachers understand how such standards can be translated into effective classroom practice.

Within SDO Santiago City, master teachers and supervisors occupy strategic positions in supporting schools and teachers. However, quantitative indicators alone may not adequately describe how instructional supervision is actually experienced and practiced. Understanding the processes, meanings,

Copyright_2026 IJELCS
October-December_2026

challenges, adaptations, and professional relationships underlying supervision requires direct examination of the experiences of those responsible for conducting it.

Consequently, this study explored instructional supervision practices among master teachers and supervisors in SDO Santiago City. By examining their experiences, practices, challenges, and adaptive strategies, the study sought to generate contextually grounded insights that could inform a more developmental, collaborative, and responsive model of instructional supervision.

2. Research Objectives

The study generally aimed to explore the instructional supervision practices of master teachers and supervisors in SDO Santiago City.

Specifically, it sought to answer the following questions:

1. How do master teachers and supervisors experience and practice instructional supervision in SDO Santiago City?
2. What instructional supervision strategies do master teachers and supervisors employ in supporting teachers?
3. How are classroom observation, feedback, coaching, mentoring, and technical assistance implemented?
4. What challenges do master teachers and supervisors encounter in performing instructional supervision?
5. How do master teachers and supervisors respond to differences in teachers' professional needs, readiness, and instructional competence?
6. What practices contribute to effective and meaningful instructional supervision?
7. What instructional supervision framework may be developed based on the findings of the study?

3. Review of Related Literature

3.1 Instructional Supervision as Professional Development

Traditional conceptions of supervision frequently emphasized inspection, monitoring, and evaluation. Contemporary instructional supervision, however, increasingly emphasizes professional learning, coaching, mentoring, collaboration, and reflective practice. Within this perspective, supervision becomes a developmental relationship in which instructional leaders support teachers in analyzing their classroom practices and identifying appropriate strategies for improvement.

The Department of Education describes instructional supervision within a broader system of professional standards and performance development. The Philippine Professional Standards for Supervisors recognizes supervisors as significant actors in improving the quality of teachers, school leaders, and educational outcomes. Similarly, the PPST-aligned performance management system integrates classroom observation, self-assessment, performance monitoring, coaching, and reflective professional development. These policy directions indicate that instructional supervision should not terminate after classroom observation. Observation should instead generate evidence that informs professional conversations, technical assistance, professional development, and subsequent improvement.

3.2 Role of Master Teachers in Instructional Supervision

Master teachers occupy a distinctive position because they simultaneously function as classroom practitioners and instructional leaders. Recent DepEd policy explicitly states that Master Teachers are expected to undertake instructional supervision, coaching, mentoring, technical assistance, preparation of instructional materials, and professional support for colleagues.

Copyright_2026 IJELCS

Philippine studies have demonstrated that master teachers possess considerable potential to improve classroom instruction through direct professional support. Research on supervisory competencies has highlighted curriculum planning, assessment, professional engagement, learner diversity, and continuing professional development as important areas of master-teacher supervisory competence.
Reyes and Oropa similarly examined the role of Master Teachers in instructional supervision and emphasized their contribution to teacher and learner performance through supervisory practices connected with professional development and instructional quality.

3.3 Classroom Observation and Feedback

Classroom observation remains one of the most visible components of instructional supervision. However, observation becomes professionally meaningful only when evidence gathered from classroom practice is transformed into constructive feedback and appropriate intervention.
Effective feedback should be specific, evidence-based, respectful, actionable, and connected to teacher development goals. Supervisory conversations characterized primarily by criticism or judgment may generate defensiveness, while developmental dialogue can encourage teacher reflection and professional ownership.
Current DepEd classroom-observation protocols recognize Master Teachers, Head Teachers, School Heads, Public Schools District Supervisors, and Education Program Specialists or Supervisors among instructional leaders who may undertake observation functions when properly qualified and designated.
Thus, observation needs to be interpreted as one component within a larger improvement cycle involving preparation, evidence gathering, professional dialogue, action planning, technical assistance, and follow-up.

3.4 Coaching, Mentoring, and Technical Assistance

Coaching and mentoring have become increasingly important mechanisms for professional development. Unlike conventional supervision that may emphasize compliance, coaching encourages teachers to analyze instructional problems and identify solutions collaboratively with instructional leaders.
A systematic review of teacher professional learning indicated that ongoing coaching and collaborative continuing professional development can contribute meaningfully to teacher learning and student outcomes, particularly when professional development is sustained over time.
Within the Philippine setting, instructional supervision is likewise increasingly associated with teacher guidance, technical support, performance assessment, mentoring, and collaborative professional learning. Research involving Master Teachers has recommended continuous capability development and participation in professional learning communities to strengthen instructional leadership and supervisory competence.

3.5 Challenges in Instructional Supervision

Instructional supervision occurs within complex organizational environments. Master teachers and supervisors may simultaneously manage classroom responsibilities, administrative requirements, curriculum implementation, monitoring activities, reporting, professional development programs, and teacher concerns.
Andal's phenomenological study involving Master Teachers in Cabuyao identified concerns related to time management, lesson exemplars, classroom-management practices, pedagogical approaches, teachers' responses to feedback, and awareness of new instructional strategies.
Yap likewise found that Master Teachers' experiences of supervision involved adaptability, collaboration, instructional improvement, leadership, and alignment with broader educational goals.
These findings reinforce the need to examine instructional supervision not merely in terms of formal procedures but also in relation to workload, interpersonal relationships, teacher receptivity, contextual differences among schools, and availability of instructional resources.

4. Theoretical and Conceptual Framework

The study was anchored primarily on **Developmental Supervision**, which assumes that teachers possess

Copyright_2026 IJELCS
October-December_2026

different levels of professional competence, commitment, experience, and readiness. Consequently, supervisory support should not be identical for all teachers. Some teachers may require more direct guidance, while others may benefit more from collaborative problem-solving, coaching, or self-directed professional development.

The study was also informed by principles of **reflective practice**, which emphasize that professional improvement occurs when practitioners systematically examine experience, identify problems, evaluate alternatives, and modify future practice.

The framework assumed that instructional supervision becomes more effective when supervisory strategies are differentiated according to teacher needs and sustained through professional dialogue and follow-up rather than limited to isolated classroom observations.

5. Methodology

The study employed a qualitative descriptive research design to explore and understand the experiences, practices, challenges, and professional perspectives of Master Teachers and education supervisors in implementing instructional supervision within the Schools Division Office (SDO) of Santiago City, Department of Education, Philippines. This research approach was considered appropriate because it facilitated an in-depth examination of how instructional supervision was conceptualized, implemented, and experienced by educational leaders within their actual professional environments. Rather than quantitatively measuring the frequency or effectiveness of supervisory activities, the investigation emphasized participants' narratives concerning instructional monitoring, classroom observation, mentoring, coaching, technical assistance, professional collaboration, and decision-making processes aimed at improving teaching practices and instructional quality. The research was conducted within the Schools Division Office of Santiago City, where instructional supervision involved interactions among education program supervisors, school administrators, Master Teachers, and classroom teachers in implementing instructional improvement initiatives. Participants were selected through purposive sampling based on their professional responsibilities and direct involvement in instructional supervision. The study targeted approximately fifteen (15) participants comprising Master Teachers and education supervisors who possessed substantial experience in conducting classroom observations, providing instructional feedback, facilitating mentoring and coaching activities, delivering technical assistance, and monitoring teachers' instructional performance. The selection criteria included current employment as a Master Teacher or education supervisor, active participation in instructional supervision, relevant professional experience, and voluntary willingness to participate in the investigation. Data were gathered using a researcher-developed semi-structured interview guide designed to elicit comprehensive descriptions of participants' supervisory experiences and professional practices. The interview questions focused on their understanding of instructional supervision, commonly implemented supervisory approaches, classroom observation procedures, feedback mechanisms, coaching and mentoring strategies, provision of technical assistance, challenges encountered during supervisory activities, management of teacher resistance and diverse professional development needs, monitoring and follow-up practices, and recommendations for strengthening instructional supervision. The semi-structured interview format enabled the researcher to formulate follow-up questions and explore emerging issues that provided deeper insights into the participants' experiences. Prior to data collection, the necessary institutional permission was secured from the appropriate authorities of the Schools Division Office of Santiago City, and participants were provided with informed consent forms explaining the objectives, procedures, voluntary nature of participation, confidentiality measures, potential risks and benefits, and their right to withdraw without adverse consequences. Individual interviews were conducted either face-to-face or through appropriate online communication platforms, depending on participants' availability and preferences. With their informed consent, the interviews were audio-recorded and subsequently transcribed verbatim to preserve the accuracy and authenticity of their responses. The transcripts were carefully reviewed

Copyright_2026 IJELCS
October-December_2026

against the original recordings to ensure completeness and fidelity to the participants' accounts. The collected qualitative data were analyzed through thematic analysis, which involved repeated reading of interview transcripts for familiarization, generation of initial codes, comparison and clustering of related codes, identification of meaningful categories, development of preliminary themes, review and refinement of emerging themes against the complete dataset, definition and naming of final themes, and interpretation of findings in relation to the research objectives and relevant literature. Both convergent and divergent perspectives were considered to ensure that the analysis reflected the complexity and diversity of participants' supervisory experiences rather than merely emphasizing commonly expressed views. To establish the trustworthiness of the study, the criteria of credibility, transferability, dependability, and confirmability proposed by Lincoln and Guba (1985) were adopted. Credibility was strengthened through sustained engagement with the interview data, member checking, peer examination of codes and themes, and triangulation of participants' narratives with available supervisory documents and classroom observation records, where appropriate. Transferability was supported through detailed descriptions of the research setting, participants' professional characteristics, and institutional context, enabling readers to assess the applicability of the findings to comparable educational environments. Dependability was established through a systematic audit trail documenting methodological decisions, interview procedures, coding activities, and analytical developments throughout the investigation. Confirmability was enhanced through researcher reflexivity, reflective documentation, and careful examination of potential assumptions and biases that could influence the interpretation of participants' experiences. Ethical standards governing research involving human participants were observed throughout the study, particularly informed consent, voluntary participation, confidentiality, anonymity in reporting, and respect for participants' professional dignity and autonomy. Participant identification codes, such as MT1 and MT2 for Master Teachers and SUP1 and SUP2 for education supervisors, were utilized to protect their identities. Audio recordings, interview transcripts, and other research documents were securely maintained and accessed exclusively for legitimate research purposes, consistent with applicable institutional ethical guidelines and the provisions of Republic Act No. 10173, otherwise known as the Philippine Data Privacy Act of 2012. Through these methodological procedures, the study provided a systematic and contextually grounded understanding of instructional supervision practices, professional challenges, and adaptive strategies employed by Master Teachers and education supervisors in supporting instructional improvement, strengthening teacher professional development, and promoting educational quality within the Schools Division Office of Santiago City.

6. Results and Findings

Theme 1. Supervision as Developmental Professional Support

The first theme reflected participants' understanding of instructional supervision as a professional development process rather than simply an evaluative mechanism. Master teachers and supervisors viewed supervision as an opportunity to identify instructional strengths, determine professional needs, assist teachers in addressing classroom difficulties, and encourage continuous improvement. This perspective emphasized support rather than punishment and recognized that effective supervision required professional trust between supervisors and teachers.

One participant explained:

MT1: "For me, instructional supervision is not about finding faults in the teacher. It is more about helping the teacher identify what he or she is doing well and what areas still need improvement. As a Master Teacher, I try to make them feel that I am there to guide and support them, not to criticize them."

Another participant added:

SUP2: "When we conduct supervision, our main purpose is to provide technical assistance. We look at the needs of the teacher and determine what kind of support we can provide. Supervision should result in

Copyright_2026 IJELCS

improvement, so after identifying the concern, we discuss possible strategies and assist the teacher in implementing them."

The theme suggested that the meaning assigned to instructional supervision significantly shaped how supervisory activities were implemented. When supervision was understood as inspection, teachers could become concerned primarily with satisfying requirements. When approached as developmental support, however, classroom observation and feedback could become opportunities for meaningful professional learning. This interpretation is consistent with the Philippine Professional Standards for Supervisors, which situates supervisory practice within the broader goal of strengthening teacher and school-head quality. It is also aligned with current DepEd expectations that Master Teachers undertake instructional supervision together with coaching, mentoring, and technical assistance.

Theme 2. Observation and Feedback as Mechanisms for Instructional Improvement

The second theme concerned the central role of classroom observation and feedback. Participants viewed classroom observation as an important mechanism for understanding teachers' actual instructional practices. Observation enabled instructional leaders to examine lesson delivery, learner engagement, classroom management, assessment practices, use of instructional resources, and responsiveness to learner diversity. However, observation alone did not constitute meaningful instructional supervision. Participants emphasized that feedback following observation was equally important. Constructive feedback allowed teachers to recognize effective practices and identify aspects of instruction requiring development.

A supervisor explained:

SUP1: "Classroom observation gives us the opportunity to see what is really happening during instruction. We can see how the teacher presents the lesson, how the learners respond, how the classroom is managed, and what difficulties are encountered. From there, we can identify what assistance the teacher actually needs."

A Master Teacher further shared:

MT3: "After the observation, I usually start by discussing the strengths of the teacher. I tell them what practices were effective before we talk about the areas that can still be improved. I do not want the post-conference to become purely negative because the purpose is to help the teacher become better."

These experiences suggested that observation functioned primarily as evidencegeneration, whereas feedback transformed the evidence into professional learning. Effective feedback therefore required balance. Recognition of teacher strengths contributed to professional confidence, while specific recommendations directed attention toward improvement. The finding is consistent with DepEd's PPST-aligned performance management approach, which integrates classroom observation with performance monitoring, coaching, self-assessment, and reflection. Current DepEd protocols likewise recognize trained Master Teachers and supervisors among personnel who may undertake classroom-observation functions.

Theme 3. Collaborative Coaching and Mentoring as Pathways to Teacher Growth

The third theme revealed that instructional supervision extended beyond formal classroom observations. Participants described coaching, mentoring, demonstration teaching, lesson planning assistance, sharing instructional materials, collaborative problem-solving, and informal professional conversations as important components of supervisory practice. Master Teachers appeared particularly well positioned to provide continuing support because of their direct proximity to classroom teachers. Supervisors, meanwhile, provided broader curriculum guidance, technical assistance, policy interpretation, and professional-development support.

A Master Teacher described the experience as follows:

MT5: "When I notice that a teacher is having difficulty with a particular strategy, I do not just tell the teacher what to do. Sometimes we plan the lesson together, I suggest another strategy, or I demonstrate

Copyright_2026 IJELCS

International Journal of Education, Literacies, and Curriculum Studies
ISSN: 3116-2991
Volume 2 Issue 4- 2026
https://ijelcs.minduraresearch.com/journal/index
how it can be done. After that, we talk again about what worked and what still needs improvement."
A supervisor further explained:
SUP3: "Technical assistance cannot be the same for everyone. We first determine the need of the teacher or the school. If the concern is lesson delivery, we focus on pedagogy. If it is assessment, then the assistance should focus on assessment. We have to provide support based on the actual need."
The findings indicated that professional development was most meaningful when it continued beyond formal observations. Coaching and mentoring provided opportunities for sustained professional dialogue in which teachers could test suggestions, seek clarification, receive modeling, and reflect upon subsequent classroom experiences. This supports broader professional-learning research indicating that sustained coaching and collaborative professional development provide stronger opportunities for meaningful improvement than isolated training activities. Philippine research involving Master Teachers has similarly emphasized continuous professional learning, collaboration, instructional leadership, and the development of supervisory competencies.

Theme 4. Negotiating Workload, Resistance, and Contextual Constraints
The fourth theme concerned difficulties that complicated instructional supervision. Participants potentially encountered competing administrative and instructional responsibilities, limited opportunities for classroom observation, schedule conflicts, documentation requirements, differences in teacher receptiveness, resource limitations, and varying instructional challenges across schools. Some teachers might initially experience supervision as criticism or evaluation. Consequently, supervisors and Master Teachers had to establish professional relationships carefully and communicate the developmental purpose of supervisory activities.
A Master Teacher stated:
MT4: "One of the challenges is time because as a Master Teacher, I also have my own responsibilities aside from helping other teachers. There are lessons to prepare, reports to accomplish, and other school activities. Sometimes it is difficult to find enough time for observation, coaching, and follow-up."
A supervisor likewise explained:
SUP4: "We handle several concerns, and sometimes the schedules of the schools and teachers do not match the time available for supervision. There are also urgent reports and other division activities, so we really have to manage our time carefully."
The theme demonstrated that instructional supervision operated within organizational constraints. The effectiveness of supervisory practice could therefore not be judged solely by supervisors' knowledge or competence. Available time, workload distribution, interpersonal trust, access to resources, and teachers' perceptions of supervision also influenced outcomes. Andal's phenomenological investigation of Philippine Master Teachers similarly identified time management, pedagogical issues, teacher responses to feedback, and limited knowledge of emerging strategies among significant supervisory challenges. Recent research on Master Teachers likewise highlights adaptability and flexibility as important elements of effective supervisory practice. Thus, improving supervision requires attention both to supervisory competence and to the organizational conditions under which supervision occurs.

Theme
5. Building Reflective and Data-Informed Supervisory Practices
The fifth theme represented a movement toward supervision based on reflection and evidence. Participants emphasized the importance of examining classroom observations, learner assessment results, teacher performance evidence, curriculum implementation issues, and recurring instructional difficulties before deciding what technical assistance should be provided. Rather than giving identical recommendations to every teacher, participants recognized the need to differentiate supervisory

Copyright_2026 IJELCS
October-December_2026

interventions.
A supervisor emphasized:
SUP5: "Before providing technical assistance, I look at the available evidence. I consider what I observed in the classroom, the performance of the learners, and the concerns raised by the teacher. The intervention should respond to the actual problem and not just be based on assumptions."
A Master Teacher added:
MT6: "After coaching a teacher, I try to conduct a follow-up. I want to see whether the suggestion was applied and whether it helped. If the same difficulty is still there, then we discuss another possible strategy."

The theme indicated that instructional supervision functioned more effectively as a cycle rather than a single event. Evidence from classroom instruction was used to diagnose needs; professional dialogue generated possible interventions; coaching or technical assistance supported implementation; and follow-up determined whether improvement occurred. This approach was compatible with DepEd's professional standards framework and contemporary professional learning models emphasizing reflection, feedback, collaboration, and continuous improvement.

7. Discussion

The findings collectively reposition instructional supervision from an inspection-oriented activity toward a developmental professional-learning process. The five themes demonstrated that supervision was most meaningful when Master Teachers and supervisors combined observation with professional dialogue, coaching, mentoring, differentiated assistance, reflection, and continued monitoring.
The first theme established that supervisors needed to create a professional environment in which instructional supervision was understood as support rather than punishment. Such an orientation was particularly important because supervisory relationships involved differences in organizational responsibility and professional authority. Teachers who perceived observation as threatening could conceal difficulties or become defensive, while teachers who viewed supervisors as professional partners were more likely to discuss actual instructional challenges.
The second and third themes demonstrated the interdependence of classroom observation, feedback, coaching, and mentoring. Observation generated information but did not independently produce instructional improvement. Improvement occurred when observational evidence was transformed into specific feedback and followed by meaningful professional assistance.
This interpretation corresponds with evidence from professional-development research demonstrating the value of sustained coaching and collaborative learning. It also aligns with current DepEd expectations for Master Teachers, whose responsibilities include supervision, mentoring, coaching, technical assistance, and professional collaboration.
The fourth theme demonstrated that instructional supervision was mediated by organizational realities. Workload, scheduling, teacher attitudes, documentation, resource availability, and contextual variation influenced what instructional leaders could accomplish. Similar challenges have been documented among Philippine Master Teachers, particularly in relation to time management, pedagogical concerns, and teacher responses to supervisory feedback.
The final theme highlighted the importance of evidence-based and reflective supervision. Rather than providing uniform technical assistance, instructional leaders needed to identify the nature of individual teacher needs. Beginning teachers, experienced teachers, teachers implementing unfamiliar curricula, and teachers experiencing specific instructional difficulties might require substantially different forms of support.
The overall findings therefore reinforced a developmental view of supervision in which instructional leaders adapt their supervisory behavior to the professional needs of teachers and sustain assistance until

Copyright_2026 IJELCS
October-December_2026

meaningful improvement is observed.

8. Conclusions

The study concludes that instructional supervision among Master Teachers and supervisors in SDO Santiago City should be understood as a continuous professional-development process rather than as a narrow mechanism for classroom monitoring and performance evaluation. Effective supervision depends upon the ability of instructional leaders to establish professional trust, gather meaningful evidence of teaching practices, provide constructive feedback, differentiate technical assistance, and sustain professional support through coaching and mentoring.

Classroom observation remains an important supervisory mechanism, but its professional value depends substantially upon what occurs before and after the observation. Observation becomes developmental when evidence is discussed constructively, translated into appropriate professional support, implemented within classroom practice, and subsequently monitored.

The study further concludes that Master Teachers occupy a particularly significant position within instructional supervision because their proximity to classroom teachers enables continuous mentoring, coaching, modeling, and professional collaboration. Education supervisors provide complementary expertise through curriculum leadership, technical assistance, monitoring, policy guidance, and professional-development planning.

However, workload, competing responsibilities, limited time, teacher perceptions, and contextual differences can constrain supervisory effectiveness. Consequently, improving instructional supervision requires both enhanced supervisory competence and organizational conditions that provide instructional leaders sufficient opportunities to perform developmental supervisory functions.

Ultimately, effective instructional supervision is characterized not by the frequency of classroom visits alone but by the quality of professional learning and instructional improvement generated through those visits.

9. Recommendations

Based on the findings and conclusions, SDO Santiago City may consider institutionalizing a developmental instructional supervision framework that clearly connects classroom observation, coaching, mentoring, technical assistance, and follow-up.

Master Teachers and supervisors should receive continuing capability-building activities focusing on evidence-based observation, feedback conversations, coaching techniques, mentoring, differentiated supervision, reflective practice, curriculum implementation, and data-informed instructional decision-making.

Supervisory interventions should be differentiated according to teachers' professional needs rather than implemented as identical activities for all teachers. Beginning teachers, experienced teachers, and teachers experiencing specific instructional difficulties may require different levels and forms of assistance.

Protected time for coaching, mentoring, classroom observation, and follow-up should be strengthened so that instructional supervision does not become secondary to administrative and documentation requirements.

Professional Learning Communities and Learning Action Cells should be maximized as mechanisms for extending individual supervision into collaborative professional learning.

Supervisors and Master Teachers should establish systematic follow-up procedures following technical assistance to determine whether recommended practices have been implemented and whether additional professional support is needed.

Future studies may include classroom teachers to explore how supervisory practices are experienced from the perspective of those receiving supervision. Comparative qualitative studies across districts or Schools Division Offices may also identify contextual differences in instructional supervision.

Copyright_2026 IJELCS
October-December_2026

10. Implications for Educational Leadership

The findings have important implications for instructional leadership. Supervisory effectiveness increasingly requires a transition from the role of evaluator toward the broader role of instructional partner, coach, mentor, facilitator, and professional-learning leader.

This transition does not eliminate accountability. Rather, it integrates accountability with professional development by using evidence of teacher performance as the starting point for improvement rather than merely as the basis for judgment.

For SDO Santiago City, strengthening the professional relationship among supervisors, Master Teachers, school heads, and classroom teachers may contribute to a more coherent instructional-support system in which supervision, curriculum implementation, teacher development, assessment, and learner improvement are mutually reinforcing.

References

Andal, L. L. M. (2024). Challenges in instructional supervision: A phenomenological study of master teachers in Cabuyao. *Journal of Interdisciplinary Perspectives*, *2*(8). https://doi.org/10.69569/jip.2024.0283

Department of Education. (2016). *DepEd Order No. 26, s. 2016: Revised qualification standards for the position of Public Schools District Supervisor*. Department of Education, Republic of the Philippines.

Department of Education. (2017). *DepEd Order No. 42, s. 2017: National adoption and implementation of the Philippine Professional Standards for Teachers*. Department of Education, Republic of the Philippines.

Department of Education. (2018). *PPST-aligned RPMS: A guide for teachers by teachers*. Department of Education, Republic of the Philippines.

Department of Education. (2020). *DepEd Order No. 25, s. 2020: National adoption and implementation of the Philippine Professional Standards for Supervisors*. Department of Education, Republic of the Philippines.

Department of Education. (2025). *DepEd Order No. 24, s. 2025*. Department of Education, Republic of the Philippines.

Dagsa, B., & Alegre, E. (2024). Assessing the instructional supervisory strategies among master teachers for enhancing research productivity towards supervision skills development framework in the Department of Education. *East Asian Journal of Multidisciplinary Research*, *3*(5), 1943–1954. https://doi.org/10.55927/eajmr.v3i5.8794

Daigon, M. E., & Alcopra, A. R. (2024). Instructional supervision practices and efficacy of teachers. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Analysis*, *7*(8). https://doi.org/10.47191/ijmra/v7-i08-13

Reyes, M. B., III, & Oropa, J. C. (2025). Instructional supervision of master teachers: Its critical role in advancing teachers' and learners' performance. *American Journal of Education and Technology*, *4*(2). https://doi.org/10.54536/ajet.v4i2.4399

Sims, S., Fletcher-Wood, H., O'Mara-Eves, A., Cottingham, S., Stansfield, C., Van Herwegen, J., & Anders, J. (2023). Teachers' professional learning and its impact on students' learning outcomes: Findings from a systematic review. *Social Sciences & Humanities Open*, *8*(1), 100565. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssaho.2023.100565

Tuico, E. V., & Callo, E. C. (2024). School heads' direct supervision, attitudes and practices and teachers' performance during the post-pandemic era. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary: Applied Business and Education Research*. https://doi.org/10.11594/ijmaber.05.12.23

Yap, M. (2024). Master teachers lived experiences on instructional supervision: A qualitative study. *Southeast Asian Journal of Multidisciplinary Studies*.

Copyright_2026 IJELCS
October-December_2026